

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/311,128	05/13/1999	JOOST KEMINK	PHA-23.501	9837
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 10/10/2007 ELLECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 300	1	WOOD, WILLIAM H		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2193	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

K.	
ىد	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/311,128	KEMINK, JOOST				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William H. Wood	2193				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	ily 2007.					
• —	action is non-final.					
· —						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,11,12 and 18-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,11,12 and 18-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		(m)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					
	<del></del>					

Application/Control Number: 09/311,128

Art Unit: 2193

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1, 3-7, 11-12 and 18-29 are pending and have been examined.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-7, 11-12 and 18-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Sato** (USPN 6,408,435) in view of **Goldstein** (USPN 5,410,326).

#### Claim 1

**Sato** discloses a method to facilitate a programming of a control device that comprises a graphics user interface, the method comprising:

enabling a determination of at least one appliance for being user controlled through the control device (column 6, lines 40-66; figures 1 and 8); communicating the code to the control device for storage at the control device for enabling user-control of the appliance in response to a subsequent

user activation of the control device (column 6, lines 40-66; figures 1 and 8),

wherein determining the code includes accessing an Internet site in dependence upon the determination of the at least one appliance (column 6, lines 40-66; figures 1 and 8).

Sato did not explicitly state "determining code for graphically representing a controllable feature of the appliance on the graphic user interface of the control device". Goldstein demonstrated that it was known at the time of invention to determine code for graphically representing controllable features on a graphic user interface of a control device (column 7, lines 9-32; column 3, lines 21-26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the internet programmable control device of Sato with graphical interface features as found in Goldstein's teaching. This implementation would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to provide a more flexible control device for expanded controlling ability (Goldstein: column 2, lines 44-51).

#### Claim 3

**Sato** and **Goldstein** disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein determining the code includes extracting a device control profile from a plurality of device control profiles (**Sato**: column 7, lines 7-13).

#### Claim 4

Sato and Goldstein disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein determining the

Application/Control Number: 09/311,128 Page 4

Art Unit: 2193

code includes extracting a device control profile in dependence upon at least one of: a location parameter, a time parameter, and a user profile (Sato: column 7, lines 7-13).

### Claim 5

**Sato** and **Goldstein** disclosed the method of claim 1, further including: communicating appliance control commands to the control device to facilitate the user- control of the appliance (Sato: column 6, lines 40-66).

#### Claim 6

Sato and Goldstein disclosed the method of claim 1, further including enabling an editing of the code (Sato: column 6, lines 40-66).

#### Claim 7

**Sato** and **Goldstein** disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein the determination of at least one appliance includes providing a sequence of selection options that lead to the determination of the at least one appliance (**Sato**: column 7, lines 7-13).

#### Claim 18

**Sato** and **Goldstein** disclosed the method of Claim 1, wherein the at least one appliance comprises at least one of: a television, a CD player, a DVD player, a

Art Unit: 2193

computer, a set-top box, a telephone, and a microwave (**Sato**: column 1, lines 5-12; column 9, lines 30-36).

## Claim 20

**Sato** and **Goldstein** disclosed the control device of Claim 11, wherein the programmable user interface is programmed to display a plurality of icons used to control at least one of the one or more electronic devices (**Goldstein**: column 7, lines 9-32).

## Claims 11-12, 19 and 21-29

The limitations of claims 11-12, 19 and 21-29 substantially correspond to the limitations of claims 1, 3-7, 18 and 20 and as such are rejected in a corresponding manner.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 23 July 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 26-28 under section 101 rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration and search, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of **Sato** (USPN 6,408,435) as indicated.

Art Unit: 2193

## Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Wood whose telephone number is (571)-272-3736. The examiner can normally be reached 10:00am - 4:00pm Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571)-272-3756. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained form either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR systems, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. For questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

William H. Wood Patent Examiner AU 2193

September 28, 2007